PostdDoc Journal Vol. 1, No. 11, November 2013

Peroxisomes: The current understanding

Francesca Di Cara, Ph.D

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta

Email: dicara@ualberta.ca

Abstract

Peroxisomes are small extremely specialized organelles present in the cytoplasm of all eukaryotic cells. Peroxisomes originate from the endoplasmic reticulum and therefore are considered a specialized branch of the cell's secretory system. Peroxisomes are the host cellular compartment where many vital metabolic pathways take place, including: α and β -oxidation of fatty acids, ether lipid biosynthesis and peroxides detoxification. In the past 20 years there has been a significant growth in our understanding of the biology of eukaryotic peroxisomes. Research on peroxisomes biogenesis and function revealed that mutations in genes encoding four peroxisomes proteins are responsible for the development of severe genetic diseases known as Peroxisome Biogenesis Disorders. This review will cover the latest knowledge on peroxisome functions to normal human development and physiology. Moreover, the paper will discuss the prominent contributions that studies in model organisms have made to our current understanding of peroxisomes, with a special highlight on how research in *Drosophila* melanogaster has furthered our understanding of peroxisome dysfunction and how it leads to various disease states.

Introduction

Peroxisomes were firstly identified in 1960 by Christian DeDuve (De Duve and Baudhuin, 1966) who discovered a new group of organelles with sedimentation properties and protein content that differed from the other known cellular organelles. DeDuve named the neo-discovered organelles peroxisomes, because he observed the presence of hydrogen peroxide-generating oxidases in their matrix.

Since the discovery of DeDuvet, scientists have made large strides in our understanding of peroxisomes biology.

Peroxisomes are small organelles $(0.1-1 \ \mu m)$ constituted of a *proteinaceous* matrix surrounded by a single membrane. Peroxisomes are present nearly in every eukaryotic cell. They originate from the Endoplasmic Reticulum (ER) by *de novo* synthesis, however mature peroxisomes can grow and divide (Tabak et al., 2013). The composition, number and size of peroxisomes can vary in different cell types and in the same cell according to its physiological status. The peroxisome matrix is host to multiple essential cellular pathways, including α -oxidation of branched fatty acids, β -oxidation of fatty acids, ether lipid biosynthesis and peroxide detoxification (Mast et al., 2010; Wanders and Waterham, 2006). Today we know that in mammals more than 50 proteins are involved in peroxisomal pathways and 34 of these are highly conserved proteins that localize exclusively in peroxisomes (Platta and Erdmann, 2007; Tower et al., 2011). To this group belong the Peroxins (Pex), a class of proteins involved in peroxisome biogenesis and maintenance (Shimozawa et al., 1999). Peroxisomal proteins are synthesized in the cytoplasm and transported to the peroxisomes through different mechanisms. One well-characterized mechanism targets peroxisomal proteins to the peroxisome via one

or two canonical targeting signals (PTS1 and PTS2). Proteins containing the tripeptide PTS1 signal in the carboxy-terminus of their sequences are bound by the Pex5 protein and translocate to the peroxisomal matrix by means not yet fully understood (Gould et al., 1987). Alternatively, proteins containing the characterized peptide canonic PTS2 signal at their amino-terminus are recognized by the Pex7 receptor protein in the cytoplasm and moved to peroxisomes (Swinkels et al., 1991). On the other hand, proteins present in the peroxisomal membrane are shuttled to the membrane by their interaction with Pex3 and/or Pex19 via a membrane protein-targeting signal (mPTS) (Fang et al., 2004). The identification of PTS signals in Saccharomyces cerevisiae has aided computer-based searches for orthologous proteins in mammals that also contain a PTS1 or a PTS2.

The identification of a large class of human genetic diseases known as peroxisome biogenesis disorders (PBDs) provided new insights into the biological functions of peroxisomes. In 1973, Sydney Goldfisher (Goldfisher 1973) reported the absence of peroxisomes in kidney and liver cells of patients affected by the so-called Zellweger syndrome (ZS), a syndrome previously observed in children cause craniofacial dysmorphism to and malformations in the brain, eyes, liver and kidney. This observation suggested peroxisomes might be the cause for the development of the disease. The connection became more evident later on, when it was discovered that ZS patients lack some Pex enzymes. PBDs are now classified as an heterogeneous group of autosomal recessive diseases that originate from mutations in genes responsible for peroxisome biogenesis and maintenance (Braverman et al., 2013). Of the 34 conserved Pex genes known to be involved in peroxisome biogenesis, 13 of them have been found mutated in different PBDs (Steinberg et al., 2004). Researchers encountered many difficulties in assigning a particular genetic complementation group to a defined PBD phenotype because different

complementation groups share the same clinical phenotypes. Furthermore, most of the defects in enzymes involved in different steps of the peroxisome biogenic pathways, result in the loss of the organelles. Consequently, it remains unclear how the loss of peroxisomes cause the pathologies associated with PBDs. Recent evidence identified the accumulation of very long chain fatty acids and low levels in ether lipids as potential causes for the insurgence of the PBD state (Steinberg et al., 2006). Advances in sequencing technologies allow researchers to catalog and annotate a range of mutations in every PBD disorder. In addition, studies in model organisms have helped to identify and characterize peroxisomal genes (Titorenko and Rachubinski, 2001a, b) in the hope to associate specific genotypes with defined PBDs defects.

Despite advances in our understanding of peroxisome biogenesis and maintenance, many aspects of peroxisome biology and dysfunction are still unclear.

Although unicellular models such as yeasts and mammalian cells are valuable to identifying proteins central to peroxisome biogenesis, they do not appear to be suitable models to unravel the mechanisms that cause PBDs in humans. Therefore the use of multicellular organisms is necessary to advance our understanding on peroxisome biology and PBDs.

This review summarized the latest knowledge about the peroxisome biogenesis, structure and functions with a special focus on the organelle functions in humans and their defects linked to the insurgence of PBDs. Moreover, The paper reported the latest research progress from studies carried out in the well-established model system *Drosophila* melanogaster. The results obtained in these studies highlight the importance of this model to unravel the role of peroxisomes in the development of multicellular



Fig.1- Diagram of the formation of new peroxisomes. Peroxisomes originates from the Endoplasmic reticulum. Peroxisomes membrane proteins are recruited to the ER and assemble in various subcomplexes at peroxisomal vesicles exit sites. Pex3 and Pex19 are responsible for the recruitment of the PMPs at the pre-peroxisome formation site. The neo-formed peroxisomal vesicles can be distinguished in subgroups based on their unique PMP cargo. A subgroup is thought to carry the docking complex for the import of peroxisomal enzymes (yellow) while the ubiquitin ligase complex is carried by a different vesicles pool (fuchsia and green).The vesicles bud off from the ER and heterotypically fuse leading to the assembly of the functional peroxisomal translocon. The assembly of the two different vesicle pools is essential to bring together all the components necessary for the import of matrix proteins. The import of the matrix proteins is essential to complete the maturation process that makes the peroxisomes metabolically active. Extracted and modified from (Tabak et al., 2013).

organisms and the molecular mechanisms leading to the PBDs.

Peroxisomes biogenesis

Many years elapsed between the discovery of peroxisomes and the first understanding of their

biogenesis. This was due in part to discordances in data on peroxisome biogenesis between research groups. Only recently, was it apparent that peroxisomes are highly versatile organelles. It is this remarkably plastic nature of peroxisomes that accounted for the discordance in the findings reported by different studies, which were conducted in different cells and under different environmental pressures.

Most of the studies that elucidated the mechanisms of peroxisome biogenesis came from investigations in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Yarrowia lipolytica. It is fairly well established now that peroxisomes originate from ER in every cell and organism (Hoepfner et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2006; Tabak et al., 2013; Tam et al., 2005; van der Zand et al., 2012). The proteins involved in the biogenetic process are a particular subfamily of the PEX genes called peroxisomal membrane protein (PMPs). In their microscopy studies in yeast cells, Hoepfner and his colleagues (Hoepfner et al., 2005) established that the PMP protein PEX3 accumulates at the perinuclear ER and supports the formation of vesicles that subsequently disconnect from the ER. The localization of Pex3 with the ER is not specific in yeast but it was also observed in mammals. It was then discovered that other PMPs (at least 15) travel from the ER to the neoforming peroxisome-assembling site and start to specialize the organelle. Meanwhile, other peroxisomal proteins migrate from the cytoplasm to the ER (Hegde and Keenan, 2011) and then to the neo-forming peroxisome. (Schuldiner et al., 2008; van der Zand et al., 2012). Pex3 and Pex19 are responsible for the recruitment of the PMPs at the pre-peroxisome formation site. Pex3 interacts with Pex19; Pex19 recruits other PMPs binding to their mPTS sequence signals (membrane Peroxisomal targeting sequence) (Gotte et al., 1998; Rottensteiner et al., 2004). Therefore, PMPs are selected and inserted in the peroxisomal vesicles before they leave ER, through their mPTS, which works as a sorting signals and both Pex3 and Pex19 together are responsible for the insertion

of PMPs in the membrane and for the sorting/exit of the vesicles from ER (Tabak et al., 2013; Yonekawa et al., 2011). The PMPs that form the active translocation machinery (also known as the peroxisome traslocon) for the import of matrix enzymes into the peroxisome are sorted in the ER and target to two separated class of pre-peroxisomal vesicles (or carriers). One group of vesicles carries the PMPs of the socalled docking complex (Pex13, Pex14 and Pex17) that is essential for the binding of the proteins to be imported in the peroxisomal matrix (Fig.1). The second group of vesicles carries the PMPs of the RING (really interesting new gene) finger complex (PEX2, PEX10 and PEX12), that are ubiquitin ligase E3 like proteins involved in the recycling of the receptor delegate for the import of the peroxisomal enzymes (see below)(Kerppola, 2008; van der Zand et al., 2012). The vesicles belonging to each of the two diverse types will then fuse in the cytoplasm in order to bring the translocon parts together and postpone the import of enzymes until the final moment of maturation. Filling the matrix with enzymes finalizes the formation of metabolically active peroxisomes (Fig.1).

The heterotypic fusion strategy adopted by the cell could function in this way to protect the ER from the import of peroxisomal enzymes that could disturb the ER environment with misplaced enzymatic actions (Titorenko and Rachubinski, 2000, 2001a).

Peroxisome enzymes are synthesized in the cytoplasm and imported into the maturing vesicles (Elgersma et al., 1993; Erdmann et al., 1989; Tan et al., 1995; Tsukamoto et al., 1990). Newly synthesized enzymes get imported to the peroxisome through their target signals: PTS1 and PTS2 (Brocard and Hartig, 2006; Lazarow, 2006). Each of these signals is recognized by a specific receptor protein (or cargo), Pex5p for PTS1 and Pex7 (Marzioch et al., 1994), together with the co-chaperons Pex18p/Pex21p (Purdue et al., 1998), bind to PTS2 (Brocard et al., 1994). The cargo-loaded protein contacts the docking complex of the translocon (Pex13p, Pex14p and Pex17p). How the cargo is carried through the

membrane and how the receptor is recycled remains unclear. The most accepted model supports the theory that the cargo proteins can form with the co-chaperons a transient pore that allows the passage of the transported protein. The transient pore is then disassembled by ubiquitination of the cargo by the Ring finger complex (Gouveia et al., 2003). How the cargo receptors are deubiquitinated and recycled, is still an open question. However, in vivo and in vitro studies in the rat liver have demonstrated that Pex5 (Gouveia et al., 2000), monoubiquitinated by Pex12 in its cysteine residue, and it is polyubiquitinated by Pex2 and Pex10 at the lysine residual (Platta et al., 2009; Platta et al., 2007). The proteins AAA atpases Pex1 and Pex6 take then care of the dislocation of Pex5 out the membrane. A similar mechanism has been reported for the cargo duo Pex7/Pex18 (Grunau et al., 2009; Schafer et al., 2004) but is not as clear as for Pex5 transport (Fig.2).

To maintain the cellular peroxisome population, neo-synthesized peroxisome can derive by the ER biogenic route or also by fission

Mutations in a large number of proteins that have been linked to peroxisome fission affect peroxisome numbers and/or size. The proteins involved in the fission are divided in three categories the Pex11 group, the DRP group and the Pex30 group. The Pex11 group proteins are membrane proteins that coordinate peroxisome proliferation (Erdmann and Blobel, 1995; Li and Gould, 2002). In mammals there are 3 isoforms for Pex11. Pex11a expression is inducible and triggers peroxisomal proliferation, whereas Pex11b and Pex11g are constitutively expressed and regulate peroxisomal division (Koch and Brocard, 2012; Li et al., 2002; Schrader et al., 1998). In yeast S. cerevisiae, there is one Pex11p, but several other proteins with amino acid similarities, as Pex25p and Pex 27p, and involved in peroxisome fission at the ER (Huber et al., 2012; Tam et al., 2003).

The other group of proteins is a dynamin related family. Dynamins are soluble proteins involved in such processes as clathrin- and caveolae-



Fig.2-Model of peroxisome matrix protein PTS-import. The protein Pex5 is the cytosolic receptor that transport the peroxisome target signal 1 (PTS1) peroxisomal proteins into the peroxisome matrix. A) Pex5 binds to the PTS1 on the target protein in the cytoplasm. B) the complex target-receptor dpcks at the peroxisome membrane by interacting with Pex13, Pex14 and Pex17. Pex5 and Pex14 together form a dynamic membrane pore that allows the transport of the complex ligand/receptor into the peroxisome matrix. C) Pex5 is monoubiquitinated by Pex12 in its cysteine residue, and it is polyubiquitinated by Pex2 and Pex10 at the lysine residual in order to promote its recycling. D) The complex Pex1 and Pex6 push the receptor out of the membrane and the cycle restarts. Pex7 together with the co-chaperons Pex18p/Pex21p bind to PTS2 . The cargo-loaded protein contacts the docking complex of the translocon (Pex13p, Pex14p and Pex17p). How the cargo is carried through the membrane and how the receptor is recycled remains unclear. Extracted and modified from (Braverman et al., 2013).

-mediated endocytosis (Hinshaw, 2000). In yeast, defects in the DRP proteins Vps1p and Dnm1p severely affect peroxisome number and size (Kuravi et al., 2006).

The Pex30 PMP protein family members (Vizeacoumar et al., 2004) have been seen interacting with a complex of the ER reticulon group (Vizeacoumar et al., 2006). Reticulon proteins support tubulation of the ER (Voeltz et al., 2006), and the interaction with Pex30 indicates that there must be contacts between the ER subdomain and peroxisomes. Consequently, this model speculates that there are two locations at which proteins affect the size and/or number of peroxisome: the ER and the peroxisomal syncytium, which forms upon fusion of ER-derived vesicles.

Many evidences support the hypothesis that peroxisomes originate preferentially through fission in response to fast changes in physiological conditions that demand a rapid alteration of peroxisome number or before cell division.

Upon cell division peroxisomes need to be portioned among the daughter cells. The mechanisms of peroxisomes inheritance have been mainly dissected in yeast. At each round of cell division, peroxisomes follow a defined sequence of events that results in their equitable distribution between daughter and mother cells at cytokinesis. In not dividing cells, peroxisomes are scattered over the entire cortex. As soon as cell division starts, peroxisomes start detaching from their original cell position and travel towards the nascent budding site. The recruitment of peroxisomes from the mother cell cortex to the bud continues until an equal number of peroxisome in the mother cell and the bud is approximately equal. Peroxisome division that is needed to maintain peroxisome number at cell division occurs only for fission and it is strictly coordinated with the cell cycle. Rachubinski and his group largely contributed to dissect the mechanisms of peroxisome-dynamics during cell cycle in yeast. This topic is beyond the aim of this review and I refer the reader to another font (Fagarasanu et al., 2010).

Peroxisomal biochemistry

Peroxisomes are involved in a variety of metabolic pathways that require the presence of a large number of proteins (more than 50 in mammals). Most enzymatic activities are unique to peroxisomes but some are shared with other organelles like mitochondria and cytosol (Ferdinandusse et al., 2000; Islinger et al., 2009; Luo et al., 2008). Most of the catabolic and anabolic synthetic pathways that occur in peroxisomes are involved in intermediary lipid metabolism, like the β -oxidation and the α oxidation of Very long chain fatty acids (VLCFA) and the synthesis of ether-phospholipids. The other main peroxisomal reactions reported in mammals are amino acid catabolism, glyoxylate metabolism, polyamine oxidation and metabolism of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (Fig.3). Importantly, biochemistry reactions that occur in peroxisomes in one cell type it might not be peroxisome-specific in different cell types, thus recalling the concept that peroxisomes are highly versatile organelles. For example, the well-characterized fatty acids (FAs) β -oxidation occurs only in peroxisome in yeasts and plants, while in mammals occurs in peroxisomes and mitochondria.

The FAs β -oxidation reaction in peroxisomes, in contrast to reaction in the mitochondrial, metabolizes only VLCFas (>C22). This reaction is usually not completed in peroxisomes but serves to produce shorter FAs (C16) that are then transported to the mitochondria where the reaction is completed.

VLCFAs destined for b-oxidation in peroxisomes enter the reaction as acyl coA esters, since the only FAs that can enter directly into the β -oxidation are the saturated un-branched and 2-metyl-branched FAs. Other FAs such as the mono-and polyunsaturated FAs, 3-methyl,



Fig.3-Overview depicting the main peroxisomal pathways. In the orange box are indicated the reactions that take place in peroxisomes of all eukaryotic cells. In the blue box are reported the peroxisomal pathways that have been described only in mammalian cells to date.

branched FAs and 2-hydroxy FAs need to undergo remodeling before they become substrates for peroxisomal β -oxidation. FAs with methyl-groups at the carbon 3 position like phytanic acid, a dietary very long chain branched FAs, must first undergo α -oxidation to produce the corresponding FAs with the methyl group in position 2 before they can go through b-oxidation. FAs α -oxidation is confined to peroxisomes and only accepts acyl-CoA esters as substrates. The phytanic acid needs to be converted to phytanoil-CoA by a Very long chain acyl-CoA synthetase enzyme (Watkins et al., 1996). Through a series of reactions that occur in the lumen of the peroxisome phytanoil-CoA is then converted to pristanic acid (Wanders et al., 2003), which is finally catabolized through β -oxidation.

Although the β -oxidation is considered a catabolic pathway, the peroxisomal β -oxidationin mammals, is also required for anabolic pathways, such as the production of poly-saturated omega-3 fatty acids, critical for CNS (central nervous system) function and primary bile acids (Kase et al., 1985; Su et al., 2001). Moreover, peroxisome β -oxidation also contributes to the regulation of complex lipids like pro-inflammatory molecules (Wanders and Waterham, 2006).

During the oxidation of fatty acids, the first reaction is performed by an oxidase that produces reactive oxygen species (hydrogen peroxide) that are then catabolized by the peroxisome catalase. Indeed, one of peroxisome's main metabolic pathways includes the metabolism of oxygen, reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species.

Peroxisomes have a number of oxidases that reduce oxygen to hydrogen peroxide. (Schrader and Fahimi, 2004) The hydrogen peroxide produced by this reduction can be disposed by three different classes of enzymes in the peroxisome: catalases, glutathione peroxidases and peroxiredoxin V (PMP20) (Fig.3).

Peroxisomes also produce superoxide anions. Superoxide anions are converted by superoxide dismutase (SOD). Copper/Zinc-SOD (Keller et al., 1991) and Manganese-SOD have also been found in peroxisomes. Recent evidence shows that peroxisomes contain inducible nitric oxide (NO) synthase that would be a source of peroxynitrite species, highly reactive species that are catabolized by peroxideredoxin V in peroxisomes (Dubuisson et al., 2004).

Peroxisomes are the site for the biosynthesis of ether-phospholipids (plasmanyl-phospholipids and plasmenyl-phospholipids or plasmalogens). The physiological role of the ether-phospholipids is unclear but they seem to be involved in membrane dynamics, cholesterol transport oxidative stress and FAs metabolism. The key step of the synthesis of ether-phospholipids required the enzymes Dihydroxyacetone phosphate Acyltransferase (DHAPAT), Acvl dihydroxyacetone phosphate synthase and Alkyl dihydroxyacetone phosphate synthase (ADHAPS) which are well-established peroxisomal enzymes (Wanders and Waterham, 2006). Deficiencies of DHAPAT and Alkyl-DHAP synthase in humans are associated with severe clinical abnormalities and early death, indicating that ether-phospholipids are essential for early development.

In humans, peroxisomes are the compartment also for the glyoxylate metabolism. The enzyme

alanine:glyoxylate aminotransferase (AGT) is exclusively expressed in liver peroxisomes and converts glyoxylate generated in peroxisomes into glycine using alanine as a primary amino group donor. This prevents the conversion of glyoxylate into the toxic metabolite oxalate, which can be catalyzed by various dehydrogenases and oxidases including lactate dehydrogenase in peroxisomes (Baumgart et al., 1996).

The continual identification of more peroxisomal proteins has further illuminated the metabolic function of peroxisomes. In particular, these discoveries revealed that enzymatic reactions once thought to occur in the cytoplasm actually are peroxisomal functions. An example of these is the catabolism of amino acids. Mammalian peroxisomes contain D-amino acid oxidase, which oxidase the D-isomers of neutral and basic amino acids, and D-aspartate (Van Veldhoven et al., 1991) oxidase that oxidase acidic amino acids.

For a more detailed analysis of the biochemistry of peroxisomes, I refer the reader to a more specific font (Wanders and Waterham, 2006).

Peroxisomal Biogenesis Disorders

The occurrence of defects in peroxisomal biogenetic pathways results in the insurgence of a group of inherited diseases in humans known as the peroxisome biogenesis disorders (PBDs) (Wanders et al., 1987a; Wanders et al., 1987b). PBDs are autosomic recessive diseases caused by mutations in one or more genes involved in peroxisome biogenesis and maintenance. Approximately 1 in 50,000 children are born every year with defects in genes that block the formation and function of peroxisomes. These mutations can result in the total absence of peroxisomes or the impairment of metabolic pathways in peroxisomes. The absence of peroxisomes cause a large spectrum of metabolic disorders due to the accumulation of metabolites

normally channeled by peroxisomes e.g. VLCFAs, phytanic acid, DHCA (dihydroxycholestanoic acid), THCA (trihydroxycholestanoic acid), or to a shortage of peroxisomal products e.g. plasmalogens, cholic and chenodeoxycholic acid, or to the presence of toxic products e.g. reactive oxygen species and glyoxylate.

PDBs represent a very heterogeneous group of severe autosomal recessive diseases, including the Zellweger syndrome (Zs), rhizomelic condrodysplasia punctate (RCDP), neonatal adrenoleukodystrophy (NALD) and infantile Refsum disease (IRD). The spectra of the phenotypes span from metabolic defects to developmental abnormalities that produce distinct dysmorphic features.

In general, most patients with PBDs present neural malformations, craniofacial dysmorphisms, visual defects, growth and mental retardation.

Since the disorders are today recognized as a clinical spectrum the PDBs are therefore classified as Zellweger spectrum disorders.

One of the most severe examples of Zellweger spectrum disorders is the cerebro-hepato-renal syndrome, Zellwenger syndrome (ZS). ZS patients are characterized by the complete absence of peroxisomes. The biochemical defect detected in ZS patients is linked to failure in VLCFAs βoxidation since the patients present accumulations in Fas. Patients present multiple problems as muscular hypotonia, visual defects (as glaucoma and optic atrophy), sensorineural deafness, characteristic craniofacial dysmorphism, seizers, liver dysfunctions, renal cysts and brain developmental defects, ultimately resulting in death in early childhood.

The Zellweger spectrum diseases can develop in consequence of mutations in 14 different PEX genes (Wanders and Waterham, 2005; Weller et al., 2003) in different complementation groups. Mutation in Pex1 enzyme accounts for 60% of the Zs spectrum diseases.

The Rhizomelic chondrodysplasia punctate (RCDP) is another ZS disease where the symptoms have been attributed mainly to mutations in the peroxin Pex7. Pex7 defects

impair PTS2 protein import thereby causing distinct RCDP phenotypes, but not affecting the number or morphology of peroxisomes.

Mutations in peroxisomal enzymes required for plasmalogen synthesis (glyceronephosphate acyltransfarase and/or akylglycerone phosphate synthase) have also been found in RCDP patients. RCDP patients, indeed do not show defects in the β -oxidation of fatty acids as ZS patients, but present defects in plasmalogen synthesis and/or α -oxidation of fatty acids, as demonstrated by the accumulation of phytanic acid over time. RCDP patients present symptoms in the neonatal period. The main aspects of the disease are a peculiar skeletal dysplasia, heart diseases, renal malformations, seizure, profound psychomotor delay and growth failures. The neural defects in RCDP are different from those present in ZS patients. In ZS patients, there are defects in neural migration where RCDP present a reduced number of neurons and cerebellar degeneration is observed.

In addition to the ZS diseases that are caused by alterations in multiple peroxins, there are other peroxisomes disorders caused by mutation in a single peroxisome enzyme. These single-enzyme mutation pathologies are more difficult to classify because every peroxisomal enzyme deficiency is associated with several phenotypes. Remarkably, defects in enzymes within the same metabolic pathway may result in different phenotypes, therefore the diseases have been subdivided depending from which peroxisomal function is impaired (Table 1).

In the past 20 years, enormous progresses have been made in understanding the biology of peroxisomes and to dissect the molecular defects that lead to the insurgence of PBDs. The use of mouse model for PBDs has already given great contribution to the study of the disease pathologies. Furthermore, pharmacological therapies for PDB patients are today under development. For instance, 4-phenylbutyrate and related compounds activate peroxisome proliferation in cell line from ZS patients (Wei et al., 2000).

The increase in peroxisome number in the cells seems to raise the absolute level of functionality of peroxisome per cell thus the development of more compounds that can induce human peroxisome proliferation, it might be beneficial to a number of PBD patients. For more information on the pharmacological interventions for PBD, I direct the reader to a recent review on the topic (Braverman et al., 2013).

Peroxisomes Studies in model systems

The last decade has been remarkably important in the understanding of peroxisome biogenesis and its disorders. Studies in model systems have essential in the identification of been peroxisomal the dissection of genes, peroxisomes biogenesis and functions and predominately to understand the connections between PBDs and metabolic cellular defects.

Many peroxisome genes (PEX) have been identified and very well characterized at cell biology levels in the yeast S. cerevisiae. Despite the contribution that yeast has given in understanding the peroxisome biochemistry and cell biology (Fagarasanu et al., 2010), we still have much to learn particularly about the peroxisomal dysfunctions that cause the insurgence of the severe PBDs. The yeast system still stands as a great model to continue to identify novel peroxisomal specific enzymes and characterize their cellular functions, however, the model organism presents some limits. For instance, most peroxisomal enzymes in yeast fulfill functions that in mammals are performed by multifunctional proteins. The use of mammalian cell lines has helped to overcome this problem nevertheless they are limited in utility to identify the effects of these gene products at a system level. Hence, many studies aimed to understand the peroxisome functions and dysfunctions during development have been

Groups	Peroxisomal disorder	Mutant	Clinical signs
Group 1 (Peroxisomes biogenesis disorders)	Zellweger syndrome, Neonatal Adrenoleukodystrophy, Infantile Refsum disease	Pex1, 2, 3, 5, 6,10,12,13, 14, 16,19,26	Craniofacial dysmorphism, Seizure, Hypotonia, Neural migration disorders, Liver dysfunction, Calcium oxalate renal stones, Cataracts, Retinal abnormalities, Sensorineural deafness, Psychomotor retardation, Peripheral Neuropathy.
	Rhizomelic chondrodysplasia punctate (RCDP) type 1	Pex7	Disproportional short stature, Facial abnormalities, Ocular aberrations, Mental retardation, Chondrodysplasia punctate, Decreased neurons number, Congenital heart disease, Renal malformation.
Group 2 (single peroxisomal enzyme deficiencies)	Refsum Disease	PAHX/PHYH	Loss of vision, Cerebellar ataxia, Cardiac problems
,	Rhizomelic chondrodysplasia punctate (RCDP) type 2	GNPAT	Mental retardation, Severe growth defects, Early death
	Rhizomelic chondrodysplasia punctate (RCDP) type 3	AGPS	Mental retardation, Severe growth defects, Early death
	Acyl-CoA oxidase deficiency (ACOX1 deficiency)	ACOX1	Hypotonia, seizures, deafness, retinopathy, neurological abnomalities
	Acatalasaemia	CAT	Increased tendency to develop oral gangrene
	Hyperoxaluria type 1	AGXT	Progressive loss of kidney function
	X-linked adrenoleykodystrophy (X- ALD)	ABCD1	Development is normal until six years of age, followed by rapid deterioration and death

Table.1-Genetics and clinical feature of peroxisomes disorders in humans

carried out in mice. The increased use of mouse models for peroxisome research is crucial to clarify the pathophysiologies connected to peroxisome defects and to help develop experimental treatments for PBDs (Weller et al., 2003).

Despite progressed achieved in this systems, the mouse is a very expensive and risky model organism to employ for the study of a specific protein in a multicellular organism. Therefore, the necessity to conduct studies in a lower risk multicellular model is warranted. The genetic model organism *Drosophila* melanogaster is emerging as a promising alternative multicellular model in peroxismomes research. *Drosophila* demands low research costs and offers a unique availability of sophisticated array for genetics and molecular tools for analysis of genes function.

In this last section of the review, I will report an overview of the recent contributions of *Drosophila* to our understanding of peroxisomal biology.

Drosophila as model system for Peroxisomal Biogenesis diseases studies

The fruit fly, *Drosophila* melanogaster, is a complex multi-cellular organism that has given outstanding contributions in genetics research for the past 100 years.

Over 75% of human genes have homologs identified in flies and therefore *Drosophila* melanogaster has been widely used as model system for the study of many human diseases including neurodegenerative diseases, mitochondrial diseases and metabolic syndromes (Arquier and Leopold, 2007; Bilen and Bonini, 2005; Sanchez-Martinez et al., 2006).

The first studies related to peroxisomes in fly can be dated back to the discovery of the gene *rosy*, involved in the biogenesis of eye pigment (Bridges, 1944). *rosy* encodes for a xanthine dehydrogenase enzyme that localizes to peroxisomes of malpighian tubules (equivalent of



Fig.4- The developmental pattern of CNS and PNS is altered in Pex1 homozygous mutant embryos. Wild-type and Pex1 homozygous embryos (stage 15 of Drosophila embryos development) were analyzed bv immunofluorescent microscopy using monoclonal antibodies BP102 (anti-CNS axons), BP104 (anti-Neuroglian; recognizing CNS and PNS neurons), and 22C10 (anti-Futsch, recognizing neuron and axon subsets of the CNS and PNS). Anterior is to the right in all the images. In lateral views, dorsal is up for BP102 and 22C10 and down for BP104, ac, anterior commissure, Ic, longitudinal connective: posterior pc, commissure; VNC, ventral nerve cord. Scale bar represents 100 mm. (Extracted from (Mast et al., 2011)

the human kidney) and gut cells (Beard and Holtzman, 1987).

Studies of human peroxisomes biogenesis disorders in *Drosophila* have been only recently undertaken.

Lately, advances in sequences technologies have allowed the identification of 15 predicted peroxins genes in *Drosophila* genome and 13 homologs of known human Pex genes. Moreover, different research groups have shown that flies carrying mutations in peroxins genes manifest some of the PDB clinical phenotypes including early death, VLCFA accumulation, neural and locomotors defects.

In 2011, Mast and colleagues presented one of the first detailed studies of the effect of peroxisomes dysfunction on development (Mast et al., 2011). The group dissected the effects of mutation in the homolog of the peroxin Pex1 in fly on Drosophila embryonic development. Pex1 homozygous mutant embryos exhibited growth defects and premature death at larval stage (equivalent of human childhood). Locomotor defects in developing Drosophila were also observed, modeling some of the abnormalities observed in ZS patients. The authors presented a detailed analysis of the central and peripheral nervous system in Pex1 mutants aimed to understand the reason of the locomotor defects in mutants and also to investigate whether the mutant embryos showed neural aberrations as reported in PBD patients. Immunofluorescence studies, using antibodies against CNS axons (BP1020) and against CNS and peripheral nervous system (PNS) neurons (anti-Neuroglian and anti-Futsch) in embryos showed malformations of the ventral nerve cord (VNC), breaks in connective of the VNC, disruption, disorganization, loss and hypoplasia of PNS and VNC neurons and/or neuritis (Fig. 4). Further analysis of the glial cells, PNS-glia-support cells and midline glia showed dramatic lost of glia organization in mutant embryos compared to wild type. All together their data demonstrated the essential role for *Pex1* in the development of



Fig.5-Pex3 and Pex16 homozygous mutants lack normal peroxisomes. (A-F) Peroxisomes detected in vivo. Malpighian tubules dissected from wild-type larvae (A, D) Pex3 homozygous mutant (B, E) and Pex16 homozygous mutant (C, F), ubiquitously expressing a chimeric enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) that presents a C-terminal PTS1 signal and an enhanced cyan fluorescent protein (ECFP) with a peroxisomal signals membrane targeted to detect peroxisomes granules. Scale bars in A and D represents 10 mm. (G-H) Electron microscopy imaging of adult malpighian tubule sections stained with 3,3'-Diaminobenzidine (DAB) in wild-type (G) and Pex16 homozygous mutant flies. Arrowheads in G indicated peroxisomes. Scale bar in G is 1 µm. (Extracted from (Nakayama et al., 2011)

fly nervous system and assessed the validity of *Drosophila* as model for studying systemic effects of PBDs on the growth and development of multicellular organisms (Mast et al., 2011).

In the same year (2011), Nakayama and colleagues (Nakayama et al., 2011) analyzed the phenotypes of flies respectively mutant for the homologs of human peroxins Pex3 and Pex16. Pex3 and Pex16 are reported together with Pex19 to be essential proteins for de novo biogenesis of peroxisomes (Tabak et al., 2013). Mutations in both Pex3 and Pex6 have been found in ZS patients. The group reported that Drosophila carrying Pex3 or Pex16 mutations present resembling ZS syndrome symptoms. Homozygous mutant for Pex3 showed developmental arrest and die at larval stage (corresponding of childhood death in humans) while Pex16 mutants can reach the adult stage, although the adults are smaller (growth defects) and live shorter (reduced lifespan) compared to wild type animals.

Analysis aimed to verify the effect of lack of Pex3 or Pex16 on peroxisomes biogenesis were then carried out in vivo in animal tissue. The group expressed а chimeric enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) that presents a Cterminal PTS1 signal and an enhanced cyan fluorescent protein (ECFP) with a peroxisomal membrane targeted signals to detect peroxisomes granules. When expressed in malpighian tubules cells (Drosophila equivalent of human kidney) of wild type animals, the fusion proteins localized to peroxisomes as it was evident from the presence of a punctate stain that resembles peroxisome granules staining (Fig. 5A, D). On the contrary, when the tagged proteins were expressed in malpighian tubules of *Pex3* homozygous animals, cells the peroxisomes granules staining was absent, indicating that Pex3 mutants are not able to form peroxisomes (Fig. 5 B, E), as reported in cells of ZS patients with mutation in Pex3. Surprisingly, when the tagged proteins were expressed in malpighian tubules cells of homozygous mutants for Pex16, few large peroxisomal like-granules staining were observed (Fig. 5 C, F). This suggested that few peroxisomes are still formed in *Pex16* mutants in *Drosophila* (Fig.5 G-H) (Nakayama et al., 2011). These same phenotypes were observed in yeast Yarrowia lipolytic pex16p mutants(Eitzen et al., 1997). However, Pex3 and Pex16 are known to be both essential for the presence of peroxisomes in human cells corroborating the hypothesis that the of requirement Pex16 in peroxisomes biosynthesis is different in different species (Honsho et al., 1998; Shimozawa et al., 2000). On the other hand, Pex16 homozygous mutant in Drosophila shared other common defects with the PBDs patients that present Pex16 mutations. A characteristic observed in PBDs patients is the accumulation of VLCFAs in plasma. Pex16 homozygous animals presented 2-10 folds greater VLCFAs contents in their hemolymph (corresponding human plasma), thus the fatty acid metabolism is altered in Pex16 flies mutant. Moreover Pex16 homozygous adults showed locomotors defects and defects in the development of neuron system. Interestingly, the neural defects did not become worst with age, harboring the idea that they are consequence of a developmental disorder. Another phenotype of the Pex16 mutants was male sterility caused by the absence of development of the early spermatocytes (early stage male gametes) in mature elongated germ cells. This characteristic is not observed in PBDs patients because their lives end before they reach the sexual maturation, however the germ cells developmental arrest phenotype observed in Pex16 mutants is consistent with those previously observed in other Drosophila Pex mutants. For instance, the work reported by Chen and colleagues demonstrated that the peroxins genes Pex2 and Pex10 (involved in peroxisome proteins import in mammals and yeast) are essential for male fertility in Drosophila. Homozygous mutants for Pex2 or Pex10 alone present defects in spermatocytes growth and cytokinesins. The phenotype seems dependent by VLCFA accumulation. In fact, the authors demonstrated that the mutant for Pex2 and Pex10 accumulated high levels of VLCFA and treatments that reduce VLCFA levels in mutant flies can rescue the fertility defects. The accumulation of VLFAC is indeed one of the main

causes of developmental defects in *Pex* mutants in fly, as in human PDBs patients (Chen et al., 2010).

Recently, McNew laboratory have published an inventory of predicted peroxisome proteins and pathways in *Drosophila* (Faust et al., 2012). The group presents a genome wide analysis obtained by bioinformatics studies aimed to identify peroxisomal proteins in the fly genomes. All the predicted peroxisomal proteins were clustered in pathways and compared with the corresponding homologous in other organisms. Parts of the results obtained by bioinformatics analysis have been confirmed *in vivo* by fluorescent confocal microscopy in fly cells. This study provides a platform to many other investigators for future studies on peroxisomes in fly.

The results achieved in the reported peroxisome studies in Drosophila have confirmed the fruit fly as a suitable model for further investigations of peroxisomes biology and of the molecular consequences of peroxisome lost and dysfunctions at system level. However, although fundamental molecular pathways are highly conserved between fly and humans, anatomic divergence between the fruit fly and humans is apparent, which may be not sufficient to recapitulate some morphological features of peroxisomes diseases. Therefore, one has to bear in mind that the differences between mammals and invertebrates represent potential drawbacks in modeling diseases.

Conclusions

The progress made over the past years in understanding the biochemistry and biogenesis of peroxisomes is largely owed to the contributions of peroxisome research performed in yeast. The recognition that Zellweger spectrum disorders derive from peroxisome dysfunctions is a realization of the important contributions peroxisomes pathways provide at the organism level. Despites all these achievements, many of the fine details of the metabolism and biogenesis of peroxisomes remain to be unraveled. The isolation of more peroxisome-specific proteins is an important step to fill the gaps in our understanding of peroxisomes functions. Interestingly, peroxisome damage caused during isolation from cellular homogenates has impeded the development of in vitro studies of peroxisomes, which were crucial in the study of mitochondria, chloroplast and ER biogenesis. Therefore, the development of techniques that allow the examination of peroxisomal protein biochemical function in vitro (e.g. how proteins are imported into peroxisomes) would help define the mechanisms of the organelles biogenesis.

As reported previously, mutations in genes involved in peroxisome biogenesis and metabolites transport are accounted as the main cause for the developmental defects observed in PBDs. There is currently no cure for PBDs and the treatments only reduce the severity of the symptoms. Improvements in the understanding of peroxisome biogenesis are essential to our knowledge of the PBDs diseases and the development of a cure.

Screening in multicellular model organism as *Drosophila* melanogaster might help to fill the puzzle of peroxisome metabolic biogenesis functions and advance our understanding in the field.

References

Arquier, N., and Leopold, P. (2007). Fly foie gras: modeling fatty liver in Drosophila. Cell metabolism *5*, 83-85.

Baumgart, E., Fahimi, H.D., Stich, A., and Volkl, A. (1996). L-lactate dehydrogenase A4- and A3B isoforms are bona fide peroxisomal enzymes in rat liver. Evidence for involvement in intraperoxisomal NADH reoxidation. The Journal of biological chemistry *271*, 3846-3855.

Beard, M.E., and Holtzman, E. (1987). Peroxisomes in wild-type and rosy mutant Drosophila melanogaster. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *84*, 7433-7437.

Bilen, J., and Bonini, N.M. (2005). Drosophila as a model for human neurodegenerative disease. Annual review of genetics *39*, 153-171.

Braverman, N.E., D'Agostino, M.D., and Maclean, G.E. (2013). Peroxisome biogenesis disorders: Biological, clinical and pathophysiological perspectives. Developmental disabilities research reviews *17*, 187-196.

Bridges, C.B.a.B., K. S. (1944). The mutants of Drosophila meanogaster. Carnegie Inst Wash Publ.

Brocard, C., and Hartig, A. (2006). Peroxisome targeting signal 1: is it really a simple tripeptide? Biochimica et biophysica acta *1763*, 1565-1573.

Brocard, C., Kragler, F., Simon, M.M., Schuster, T., and Hartig, A. (1994). The tetratricopeptide repeat-domain of the PAS10 protein of Saccharomyces cerevisiae is essential for binding the peroxisomal targeting signal-SKL. Biochemical and biophysical research communications 204, 1016-1022.

Chen, H., Liu, Z., and Huang, X. (2010). Drosophila models of peroxisomal biogenesis disorder: peroxins are required for spermatogenesis and very-long-chain fatty acid metabolism. Human molecular genetics *19*, 494-505.

De Duve, C., and Baudhuin, P. (1966). Peroxisomes (microbodies and related particles). Physiological reviews *46*, 323-357.

Dubuisson, M., Vander Stricht, D., Clippe, A., Etienne, F., Nauser, T., Kissner, R., Koppenol,

W.H., Rees, J.F., and Knoops, B. (2004). Human peroxiredoxin 5 is a peroxynitrite reductase. FEBS letters *571*, 161-165.

Eitzen, G.A., Szilard, R.K., and Rachubinski, R.A. (1997). Enlarged peroxisomes are present in oleic acid-grown Yarrowia lipolytica overexpressing the PEX16 gene encoding an intraperoxisomal peripheral membrane peroxin. The Journal of cell biology *137*, 1265-1278.

Elgersma, Y., van den Berg, M., Tabak, H.F., and Distel, B. (1993). An efficient positive selection procedure for the isolation of peroxisomal import and peroxisome assembly mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics *135*, 731-740.

Erdmann, R., and Blobel, G. (1995). Giant peroxisomes in oleic acid-induced Saccharomyces cerevisiae lacking the peroxisomal membrane protein Pmp27p. The Journal of cell biology *128*, 509-523.

Erdmann, R., Veenhuis, M., Mertens, D., and Kunau, W.H. (1989). Isolation of peroxisomedeficient mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *86*, 5419-5423.

Fagarasanu, A., Mast, F.D., Knoblach, B., and Rachubinski, R.A. (2010). Molecular mechanisms of organelle inheritance: lessons from peroxisomes in yeast. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology *11*, 644-654.

Fang, Y., Morrell, J.C., Jones, J.M., and Gould, S.J. (2004). PEX3 functions as a PEX19 docking factor in the import of class I peroxisomal membrane proteins. The Journal of cell biology *164*, 863-875.

Faust, J.E., Verma, A., Peng, C., and McNew, J.A. (2012). An inventory of peroxisomal proteins and pathways in Drosophila melanogaster. Traffic *13*, 1378-1392.

Ferdinandusse, S., Denis, S., L, I.J., Dacremont, G., Waterham, H.R., and Wanders, R.J. (2000). Subcellular localization and physiological role of alpha-methylacyl-CoA racemase. Journal of lipid research *41*, 1890-1896.

Goldfisher, S.M., C. L.; Johnson, A. B.; Spiro, A. J.; Valsamis, M. P.; Wisniewski, H. K.; Ritch, R. H.; Norton, W. T.; Rapin, I,; Gartner, L. M. (1973). Peroxisomal and mitochondrial defects in the cerebro-hepato-renal syndrome. . Science *182*, 62-64.

Gotte, K., Girzalsky, W., Linkert, M., Baumgart, E., Kammerer, S., Kunau, W.H., and Erdmann, R. (1998). Pex19p, a farnesylated protein essential for peroxisome biogenesis. Molecular and cellular biology *18*, 616-628.

Gould, S.G., Keller, G.A., and Subramani, S. (1987). Identification of a peroxisomal targeting signal at the carboxy terminus of firefly luciferase. The Journal of cell biology *105*, 2923-2931.

Gouveia, A.M., Guimaraes, C.P., Oliveira, M.E., Reguenga, C., Sa-Miranda, C., and Azevedo, J.E. (2003). Characterization of the peroxisomal cycling receptor, Pex5p, using a cell-free in vitro import system. The Journal of biological chemistry *278*, 226-232.

Gouveia, A.M., Reguenga, C., Oliveira, M.E., Sa-Miranda, C., and Azevedo, J.E. (2000). Characterization of peroxisomal Pex5p from rat liver. Pex5p in the Pex5p-Pex14p membrane complex is a transmembrane protein. The Journal of biological chemistry *275*, 32444-32451.

Grunau, S., Schliebs, W., Linnepe, R., Neufeld, C., Cizmowski, C., Reinartz, B., Meyer, H.E., Warscheid, B., Girzalsky, W., and Erdmann, R. (2009). Peroxisomal targeting of PTS2 pre-import complexes in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Traffic *10*, 451-460.

Hegde, R.S., and Keenan, R.J. (2011). Tailanchored membrane protein insertion into the endoplasmic reticulum. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology *12*, 787-798.

Hinshaw, J.E. (2000). Dynamin and its role in membrane fission. Annual review of cell and developmental biology *16*, 483-519.

Hoepfner, D., Schildknegt, D., Braakman, I., Philippsen, P., and Tabak, H.F. (2005). Contribution of the endoplasmic reticulum to peroxisome formation. Cell *122*, 85-95.

Honsho, M., Tamura, S., Shimozawa, N., Suzuki, Y., Kondo, N., and Fujiki, Y. (1998). Mutation in PEX16 is causal in the peroxisome-deficient Zellweger syndrome of complementation group D. American journal of human genetics *63*, 1622-1630.

Huber, A., Koch, J., Kragler, F., Brocard, C., and Hartig, A. (2012). A subtle interplay between three Pex11 proteins shapes de novo formation and fission of peroxisomes. Traffic *13*, 157-167.

Islinger, M., Li, K.W., Seitz, J., Volkl, A., and Luers, G.H. (2009). Hitchhiking of Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase to peroxisomes--evidence for a natural piggyback import mechanism in mammals. Traffic *10*, 1711-1721.

Kase, B.F., Bjorkhem, I., Haga, P., and Pedersen, J.I. (1985). Defective peroxisomal cleavage of the C27-steroid side chain in the cerebro-hepato-renal syndrome of Zellweger. The Journal of clinical investigation *75*, 427-435.

Keller, G.A., Warner, T.G., Steimer, K.S., and Hallewell, R.A. (1991). Cu,Zn superoxide dismutase is a peroxisomal enzyme in human fibroblasts and hepatoma cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *88*, 7381-7385.

Kerppola, T.K. (2008). Bimolecular fluorescence complementation: visualization of molecular interactions in living cells. Methods in cell biology *85*, 431-470.

Kim, P.K., Mullen, R.T., Schumann, U., and Lippincott-Schwartz, J. (2006). The origin and maintenance of mammalian peroxisomes involves a de novo PEX16-dependent pathway from the ER. The Journal of cell biology *173*, 521-532.

Koch, J., and Brocard, C. (2012). PEX11 proteins attract Mff and human Fis1 to coordinate peroxisomal fission. Journal of cell science *125*, 3813-3826.

Kuravi, K., Nagotu, S., Krikken, A.M., Sjollema, K., Deckers, M., Erdmann, R., Veenhuis, M., and van der Klei, I.J. (2006). Dynamin-related proteins Vps1p and Dnm1p control peroxisome abundance in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Journal of cell science *119*, 3994-4001.

Lazarow, P.B. (2006). The import receptor Pex7p and the PTS2 targeting sequence. Biochimica et biophysica acta *1763*, 1599-1604.

Li, X., Baumgart, E., Dong, G.X., Morrell, J.C., Jimenez-Sanchez, G., Valle, D., Smith, K.D., and

Gould, S.J. (2002). PEX11alpha is required for peroxisome proliferation in response to 4phenylbutyrate but is dispensable for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha-mediated peroxisome proliferation. Molecular and cellular biology *22*, 8226-8240.

Li, X., and Gould, S.J. (2002). PEX11 promotes peroxisome division independently of peroxisome metabolism. The Journal of cell biology *156*, 643-651.

Luo, B., Norris, C., Bolstad, E.S., Knecht, D.A., and Grant, D.F. (2008). Protein quaternary structure and expression levels contribute to peroxisomaltargeting-sequence-1-mediated peroxisomal import of human soluble epoxide hydrolase. Journal of molecular biology *380*, 31-41.

Marzioch, M., Erdmann, R., Veenhuis, M., and Kunau, W.H. (1994). PAS7 encodes a novel yeast member of the WD-40 protein family essential for import of 3-oxoacyl-CoA thiolase, a PTS2containing protein, into peroxisomes. The EMBO journal *13*, 4908-4918.

Mast, F.D., Fagarasanu, A., Knoblach, B., and Rachubinski, R.A. (2010). Peroxisome biogenesis: something old, something new, something borrowed. Physiology (Bethesda) *25*, 347-356.

Mast, F.D., Li, J., Virk, M.K., Hughes, S.C., Simmonds, A.J., and Rachubinski, R.A. (2011). A Drosophila model for the Zellweger spectrum of peroxisome biogenesis disorders. Disease models & mechanisms 4, 659-672.

Nakayama, M., Sato, H., Okuda, T., Fujisawa, N., Kono, N., Arai, H., Suzuki, E., Umeda, M., Ishikawa, H.O., and Matsuno, K. (2011). Drosophila carrying pex3 or pex16 mutations are models of Zellweger syndrome that reflect its symptoms associated with the absence of peroxisomes. PloS one *6*, e22984.

Platta, H.W., El Magraoui, F., Baumer, B.E., Schlee, D., Girzalsky, W., and Erdmann, R. (2009). Pex2 and pex12 function as protein-ubiquitin ligases in peroxisomal protein import. Molecular and cellular biology *29*, 5505-5516.

Platta, H.W., El Magraoui, F., Schlee, D., Grunau, S., Girzalsky, W., and Erdmann, R. (2007). Ubiquitination of the peroxisomal import receptor Pex5p is required for its recycling. The Journal of cell biology 177, 197-204.

Platta, H.W., and Erdmann, R. (2007). Peroxisomal dynamics. Trends in cell biology *17*, 474-484.

Purdue, P.E., Yang, X., and Lazarow, P.B. (1998). Pex18p and Pex21p, a novel pair of related peroxins essential for peroxisomal targeting by the PTS2 pathway. The Journal of cell biology *143*, 1859-1869.

Rottensteiner, H., Kramer, A., Lorenzen, S., Stein, K., Landgraf, C., Volkmer-Engert, R., and Erdmann, R. (2004). Peroxisomal membrane proteins contain common Pex19p-binding sites that are an integral part of their targeting signals. Molecular biology of the cell *15*, 3406-3417.

Sanchez-Martinez, A., Luo, N., Clemente, P., Adan, C., Hernandez-Sierra, R., Ochoa, P., Fernandez-Moreno, M.A., Kaguni, L.S., and Garesse, R. (2006). Modeling human mitochondrial diseases in flies. Biochimica et biophysica acta *1757*, 1190-1198.

Schafer, A., Kerssen, D., Veenhuis, M., Kunau, W.H., and Schliebs, W. (2004). Functional similarity between the peroxisomal PTS2 receptor binding protein Pex18p and the N-terminal half of the PTS1 receptor Pex5p. Molecular and cellular biology *24*, 8895-8906.

Schrader, M., and Fahimi, H.D. (2004). Mammalian peroxisomes and reactive oxygen species. Histochemistry and cell biology *122*, 383-393.

Schrader, M., Reuber, B.E., Morrell, J.C., Jimenez-Sanchez, G., Obie, C., Stroh, T.A., Valle, D., Schroer, T.A., and Gould, S.J. (1998). Expression of PEX11beta mediates peroxisome proliferation in the absence of extracellular stimuli. The Journal of biological chemistry *273*, 29607-29614.

Schuldiner, M., Metz, J., Schmid, V., Denic, V., Rakwalska, M., Schmitt, H.D., Schwappach, B., and Weissman, J.S. (2008). The GET complex mediates insertion of tail-anchored proteins into the ER membrane. Cell *134*, 634-645.

Shimozawa, N., Imamura, A., Zhang, Z., Suzuki, Y., Orii, T., Tsukamoto, T., Osumi, T., Fujiki, Y., Wanders, R.J., Besley, G., *et al.* (1999). Defective PEX gene products correlate with the protein import, biochemical abnormalities, and phenotypic heterogeneity in peroxisome biogenesis disorders. Journal of medical genetics *36*, 779-781.

Shimozawa, N., Suzuki, Y., Zhang, Z., Imamura, A., Ghaedi, K., Fujiki, Y., and Kondo, N. (2000). Identification of PEX3 as the gene mutated in a Zellweger syndrome patient lacking peroxisomal remnant structures. Human molecular genetics *9*, 1995-1999.

Steinberg, S., Chen, L., Wei, L., Moser, A., Moser, H., Cutting, G., and Braverman, N. (2004). The PEX Gene Screen: molecular diagnosis of peroxisome biogenesis disorders in the Zellweger syndrome spectrum. Molecular genetics and metabolism *83*, 252-263.

Steinberg, S.J., Dodt, G., Raymond, G.V., Braverman, N.E., Moser, A.B., and Moser, H.W. (2006). Peroxisome biogenesis disorders. Biochimica et biophysica acta *1763*, 1733-1748.

Su, H.M., Moser, A.B., Moser, H.W., and Watkins, P.A. (2001). Peroxisomal straight-chain Acyl-CoA oxidase and D-bifunctional protein are essential for the retroconversion step in docosahexaenoic acid synthesis. The Journal of biological chemistry *276*, 38115-38120.

Swinkels, B.W., Gould, S.J., Bodnar, A.G., Rachubinski, R.A., and Subramani, S. (1991). A novel, cleavable peroxisomal targeting signal at the amino-terminus of the rat 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase. The EMBO journal *10*, 3255-3262.

Tabak, H.F., Braakman, I., and van der Zand, A. (2013). Peroxisome formation and maintenance are dependent on the endoplasmic reticulum. Annual review of biochemistry *82*, 723-744.

Tam, Y.Y., Fagarasanu, A., Fagarasanu, M., and Rachubinski, R.A. (2005). Pex3p initiates the formation of a preperoxisomal compartment from a subdomain of the endoplasmic reticulum in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The Journal of biological chemistry *280*, 34933-34939.

Tam, Y.Y., Torres-Guzman, J.C., Vizeacoumar, F.J., Smith, J.J., Marelli, M., Aitchison, J.D., and Rachubinski, R.A. (2003). Pex11-related proteins in peroxisome dynamics: a role for the novel peroxin Pex27p in controlling peroxisome size and number in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Molecular biology of the cell 14, 4089-4102.

Tan, X., Titorenko, V.I., van der Klei, I.J., Sulter, G.J., Haima, P., Waterham, H.R., Eyers, M., Harder, W., Veenhuis, M., and Cregg, J.M. (1995). Characterization of peroxisome-deficient mutants of Hansenula polymorpha. Current genetics *28*, 248-257.

Titorenko, V.I., and Rachubinski, R.A. (2000). Peroxisomal membrane fusion requires two AAA family ATPases, Pex1p and Pex6p. The Journal of cell biology *150*, 881-886.

Titorenko, V.I., and Rachubinski, R.A. (2001a). Dynamics of peroxisome assembly and function. Trends in cell biology *11*, 22-29.

Titorenko, V.I., and Rachubinski, R.A. (2001b). The life cycle of the peroxisome. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology *2*, 357-368.

Tower, R.J., Fagarasanu, A., Aitchison, J.D., and Rachubinski, R.A. (2011). The peroxin Pex34p functions with the Pex11 family of peroxisomal divisional proteins to regulate the peroxisome population in yeast. Molecular biology of the cell *22*, 1727-1738.

Tsukamoto, T., Yokota, S., and Fujiki, Y. (1990). Isolation and characterization of Chinese hamster ovary cell mutants defective in assembly of peroxisomes. The Journal of cell biology *110*, 651-660.

van der Zand, A., Gent, J., Braakman, I., and Tabak, H.F. (2012). Biochemically distinct vesicles from the endoplasmic reticulum fuse to form peroxisomes. Cell *149*, 397-409.

Van Veldhoven, P.P., Brees, C., and Mannaerts, G.P. (1991). D-aspartate oxidase, a peroxisomal enzyme in liver of rat and man. Biochimica et biophysica acta *1073*, 203-208.

Vizeacoumar, F.J., Torres-Guzman, J.C., Bouard, D., Aitchison, J.D., and Rachubinski, R.A. (2004). Pex30p, Pex31p, and Pex32p form a family of peroxisomal integral membrane proteins regulating peroxisome size and number in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Molecular biology of the cell *15*, 665-677.

Vizeacoumar, F.J., Vreden, W.N., Aitchison, J.D., and Rachubinski, R.A. (2006). Pex19p binds Pex30p and Pex32p at regions required for their peroxisomal localization but separate from their peroxisomal targeting signals. The Journal of biological chemistry *281*, 14805-14812.

Voeltz, G.K., Prinz, W.A., Shibata, Y., Rist, J.M., and Rapoport, T.A. (2006). A class of membrane proteins shaping the tubular endoplasmic reticulum. Cell *124*, 573-586.

Wanders, R.J., Jansen, G.A., and Lloyd, M.D. (2003). Phytanic acid alpha-oxidation, new insights into an old problem: a review. Biochimica et biophysica acta *1631*, 119-135.

Wanders, R.J., Strijland, A., van Roermund, C.W., van den Bosch, H., Schutgens, R.B., Tager, J.M., and Schram, A.W. (1987a). Catalase in cultured skin fibroblasts from patients with the cerebrohepato-renal (Zellweger) syndrome: normal maturation in peroxisome-deficient cells. Biochimica et biophysica acta *923*, 478-482.

Wanders, R.J., van Roermund, C.W., Westra, R., Schutgens, R.B., van der Ende, M.A., Tager, J.M., Monnens, L.A., Baadenhuysen, H., Govaerts, L., Przyrembel, H., *et al.* (1987b). Alanine glyoxylate aminotransferase and the urinary excretion of oxalate and glycollate in hyperoxaluria type I and the Zellweger syndrome. Clinica chimica acta; international journal of clinical chemistry *165*, 311-319.

Wanders, R.J., and Waterham, H.R. (2005). Peroxisomal disorders I: biochemistry and genetics of peroxisome biogenesis disorders. Clinical genetics *67*, 107-133.

Wanders, R.J., and Waterham, H.R. (2006). Biochemistry of mammalian peroxisomes revisited. Annual review of biochemistry *75*, 295-332.

Watkins, P.A., Howard, A.E., Gould, S.J., Avigan, J., and Mihalik, S.J. (1996). Phytanic acid activation in rat liver peroxisomes is catalyzed by long-chain acyl-CoA synthetase. Journal of lipid research *37*, 2288-2295.

Wei, H., Kemp, S., McGuinness, M.C., Moser, A.B., and Smith, K.D. (2000). Pharmacological induction of peroxisomes in peroxisome biogenesis disorders. Annals of neurology *47*, 286-296.

Weller, S., Gould, S.J., and Valle, D. (2003). Peroxisome biogenesis disorders. Annual review of genomics and human genetics *4*, 165-211.

Yonekawa, S., Furuno, A., Baba, T., Fujiki, Y., Ogasawara, Y., Yamamoto, A., Tagaya, M., and Tani, K. (2011). Sec16B is involved in the endoplasmic reticulum export of the peroxisomal membrane biogenesis factor peroxin 16 (Pex16) in mammalian cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *108*, 12746-12751.